

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,023	11/13/2001	Raymond F. Cracauer	FORS-06679	3272
72960 7590 11/27/2007 EXAMI				INER
Casimir Jones, S.C. 440 Science Drive		•	HANDY, DWAYNE K	
Suite 203 Madison, WI 53	3711		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Wiadison, W1 3.	3/11		1797	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/054,023	CRACAUER ET A	L.			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Dwayne K. Handy	1797				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this co BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•			
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Se</u>	eptember 2007.					
		action is non-final.					
·—	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31 and 32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>31 and 32</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
			,				
Attachmen	t/c)		•				
Attachmen	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Intender	Summary (PTO-413)				
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application				



DETAILED ACTION

Inventorship

1. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Application/Control Number:

10/054,023 Art Unit: 1797

3. Claims 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Orimo et al. (4,338,279) in view of Natterer et al. (5,027.578). Orimo teaches an automatic analyzing apparatus. The device is best shown in Figures 1, 3 and 4. The device is comprised of a housing (25) that includes a cover (26) with an opening (27) for venting heat from the cover. Inside the housing are elements used in chemical reactions including containers, feed mechanisms, and analysis equipment (columns 7 and 8). Orimo does not teach the use of a ventilation cover to evacuate vapors emitted from the device. The Examiner considers the device of Orimo to meet the broad limitation of an "oligonucleotide synthesizer" and notes that Applicant has not recited the synthesis of oligonucleotides in the claims.

Natterer teaches a packaging device for packaging materials. The device is best shown in Figures 1 and 2. The device includes a lid (3) having a suction opening (19) formed between a lower plate of the lid and that leads to a suction hose (20) connected to a pump (21) for drawing vacuum on the hose. In column 4, lines 42-54, Natterer describes how suction is applied at the suction opening (19) when the lid is opened. This allows for the removal of gases from the lid area before they can come in contact with the operator. It would have bee obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine the vacuum lid and vapor removal from Natterer with the method and device of Orimo. One would add the vacuum lid and vapor removal in order to contain and shield the user from unwanted gases when the lid is open as in Natterer.

10/054,023 Art Unit: 1797

Conclusion

- 4. The Examiner notes that claims 31 and 32 were deemed allowable in the previous Office Action. This is no longer the case due to the new reference cited "Orimo". The Examiner apologizes for the previous oversight and has not made this action final due to the new rejection.
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dwayne K. Handy whose telephone number is (571)-272-1259. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-4:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jill Warden can be reached on (571)-272-1267. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number:

10/054,023 Art Unit: 1797 Page 5

6. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

DKH November 26, 2007

Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700